This file contains mul 11-46 (143, [illegible])

IX 4

1945-46
mul

File No 10-45
Serial Nos 173, 244

Previous References
mul 10-44-91
" 10-42 (110, 133)
" 32-40 (104, 105, 134, 135)
" 24-38-209
Later References
mul 11-46 (103, 104)
" 10-46 (182 185)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos Nil

Part B for Permanent

SUBJECT

Grant of a personal Allowance of Rs 10 to Mr Sivaramathan, Council clerk of the City Municipal Office

L 8-495=26 Dr 28/2/46

To Municipal Commissioner City
From the Secretary
Comptroller to
for

Sanction the

ml 10-44 7194/2

Letter No d/c-Pr/23/44-45 dy 25-4-45 from the ml Comm, Do Lhr, forwarding for orders a copy of the resolution of the mlcl dy 31-3-45 requesting Govt to reconsider their orders declining to grant a personal allowance of Rs 20 pm to the Examination in Council clerks of the C. of ml office

After due consideration, the ml Comm was informed that Govt considers that there is no justification for the grant of the allowance as recommended

2) The mlcl now requests that the orders of Govt may be reconsidered and sanction accorded to the grant of the allowance as originally recommended

Govt for orders with the previous file

Lawsey for 16/5/45

No case is made out for reconsideration.

The Govt regret they see no reason for reconsidering their previous order.

16/5

DF. 16/5/45

7196 J 24.5.43

1) Submitted with a draft reply

8) Agreed 3/9/43

To the Municipal Commissioner Bombay

L 11836 / Mi 10-44-43, dt 8-6-43

states that they see no reason for reconsideration of their previous orders declining the grant of a personal allowance to Mr Swaminathan, clerk from the Municipal Commissioner Bombay.

A/c - pr 123/44-43, dt 27-9-43

states that the City Municipal Council have again resolved to reaffirm their previous resolution urging the Govt to accord sanction to the grant of a personal allowance of Rs 20- = p m to Mr M Swaminathan Council clerk and recommends sanction

2) on go no L 8002 dt 13-2-43, it was ordered that Government consider that there was no justification for granting the personal allowance of Rs 20- p m

3) Kind reference is invited to para 5 of the notes below, wherein it was again ordered that...

Government see no reason for reconsideration of their previous orders declining the grant of a personal allowance to Mr Swaminathan

1) The City Municipal Council and the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City, have also recommended reconsideration of orders, and the grant of a personal allowance of Rs 20- p m to Mr M Swaminathan, Council clerk, on the following grounds

1) that Mr Swaminathan is a smart, intelligent and highly qualified young man, being M A in Literature

2) that his work has met with repeated appreciation at the hands of the Municipal Council

3) that the Council found him so useful that when, had an offer from the Civil Supplies Department on an attractive salary, the then Commissioner expressed his unwillingness to spare him and promised to better his prospects in the Municipal Office alone

(2) for orders

(3) Mrs - the third time a recommendation has been made on behalf of this man by the Bangalore Municipal

MR 17/6



2452 5 3417

From the Municipal Town Bailiff

R 123/44-45 — dt 28-11-45

Asks the Service Register of Mr M Swaminathan, Council clerk

20) It cannot be made out from the Service Register (first vol) whether Mr Swaminathan is an Official of the Revenue Department or a Municipal employee

21) A draft letter to the Revenue Town is put up for perusal

dt 9/12 whether the entry is there in the Service Register?

Attested
23 June since
for
Town Bailiff

To The Revenue Town
A 5-233 dt 4-12-45
Calls for the above information

5009 5 3917

From the Revenue Comr

K-D S A1 2331/45, d 17-1-46

states that the services of Mr H Swamnathan, clerk, was all along in the Municipality except for a period of 5 years and six months when he served in Uttanam Dist- where his lien is not retained

2) It is seen from the service register that Mr Swamnathan was confirmed as a clerk on Rs 40- in Bangalore city Municipality, with effect from 1-7-1936

3) The Revenue Comr has reported that the official does not retain his lien in the Revenue Department- Refer para 17 Supra for orders

23/1

4) What about the answer to the other part of my question in para 1) above?

23/1/46

5009/L

27) Land Reference is invited to Article 450 H S R regarding personal Allowance

28) The H S R Contemplates the grant of a person allowance to protect from loss an officer, the pay of whose appointment has been changed (vide Article 26 - Rule I H S R) The case of his transmutation does not appear to fall under that category

29) Refer paras 17 and 26 Supra

25/1/46

25/1/46

30) No special Consideration appears possible in this Case

Minister for Ed[n] & P H) 25/1/46

26/1/46

D 27 1 46 4427

31) He may be given an allowance of Rs 100 per mensem

13/2/46

3y Dft for 14/2/46.

3y Subject to a charge-pay

3y Dft for 15/2/46

TYPING SECTION 15 FEB 1946 GEN & PY. SEC.

15/2

SCG/L5925-7

To The Municipal Commissioner
Bangalore

L+925-1- dated 28-2-46,
Sanctions his proposal to grant a personal allowance of Rs 10- p M to Mr M Swaminathan, Council clerk, Bangalore City Municipality

From the Municipal Comm Bangalore City
AS-C 334/45-46, dated 9-4-46
states that the Council reiterates its previous resolution and requests Govt to sanction the grant of a personal allowance of Rs 20- p M to Mr M Swaminathan, Council clerk, from the date of their resolution, i e 23-10-1944 and requests orders of Govt in the matter

It is after due consideration, Govt sanctioned the grant of a personal allowance of Rs 10- p M to Mr M Swaminathan, Council clerk, Bangalore City Municipality

3b) The grounds now urged by the Municipal

725/

Council were duly considered by Govt in

A) declining the allowance at Rs 60- p m The recommendation is also requested to give him a p a of Rs 20/- p m instead of Rs 10/- p a now sanctioned

3) The question of giving retrospective effect to the

B) allowances i.e from 23 10 1944 as per order

1482/23/44 3) Under the Account Code, such allowances have to take effect from the date of the issue of the G.O, in the absence of definite instructions to the contrary regarding Govt Supra,

Ex 2/4 3) For orders / cases previous reference — Para 81 supra.

reversed from 28/8/45

(c) What is the limit to which such allowances could be given? What is his substantive pay?

Dan 24/4/

Sd 29/4/46

4) The M S R does not say any thing about the limit upto which a personal allowance may be sanctioned by Govt to an official

4a) Mr Swaminathan is a permanent clerk on Rs 60- in grade Rs 50-2-60

43) Refer para 40 supra

Reversed for 20/4/46

Ex 25/4

44) 7257
It was on the 13th Feb 1946
that Govt Sanctioned an
allce of Rs 10/= p.m to this
official as a Special Case Again
the recommendation is repeated
This attitude cannot be Encouraged
Nor is it possible to Comply with
such requests, which will only
Create heartburn among other
officials. The proposal may be
Vetoed

Minister for Ed" + H.A.)

Hon
27/4

H.D.) HW
8/5/46

Hon
6/5

27/April

Initials
Dat 27 4 46
No 6618

46) DP reply please
7/May

10 MAY 1946

Lucia with a draft reply

a
Gp

DSenanayake
10/5/46

To
The Municipal Commr Badulla
L 12681 of 14-5-46
Vetoes the proposal

B

10-44-192 f. 71

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

No A6-Pr /23 /44-45 MUNICIPAL OFFICES,

Encl one Bagnalore 25th April 194 5

FROM

K SUBBA RAO, Esq, B A
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

To

C Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq , B A , B L ,
Legal Remembrancer and
Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
Bangalore

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. L 8002/M1 dated 13-2-1945 declining to sanction the personal allowance of Rs 20/- p m. to Mr M Swaminathan, Council Clerk of this Office, I have the honour to state that the letter under reference was placed before the Municipal Council on 31-3-45 when they passed a resolution requesting the Government to reconsider their order and accord sanction to the resolution of the Municipal Council A copy of the same is sent herewith for favour of obtaining orders of Government in the matter

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments

Honorary President
25/4/45

mlt

Proceedings of the Meeting of Council dated 31-3-1945

11. Letter No. L 8002 dated 13-2-1945 from from the Law Secretary to Government that Government consider that there is no justification for granting the allowance of Rs 20/- per month to Mr M. Swaminathan, as recommended by the Council

Mr G. Nanjappa said that in view of the very good work that was being done by Mr Swaminathan, the Council should re-iterate its resolution and request Government to reconsider their orders

Mr H C Suryanarayana Rao observed that there was every justification for the Council to re-iterate its previous resolution and they should re-iterate the same

Mr D Venkataramanappa said that a strong recommendation might be sent up to Government, requesting them to sanction the Council resolution as the work of the official was satisfactory

Resolution - This Council requests the Governmnt to reconsider their order and accord sanction to the resolution of the Council

Sd M A Gopalaswamy Iyengar,

Hon President

"Copy"

Municipal Commissioner

mlt

L 11836 — Mil 10-44-143
To the Municipal Council Bellary
(through the President)
8/6/45

Sir,

With reference to your letter no A-6. pv 123/44-45 dt 25-4-45, requesting reconsideration of the orders passed in G.O no L 8002/Mil 10-44, dt 13-2-45 declining the grant of a personal allowance of Rs 20/p.m to Mr M Swaminatham, Council Clerk I am directed to state that the Govt regret they see no reason for reconsidering



BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

No A6-Pr. 123/44-45

MUNICIPAL OFFICES,

Encl

Bangalore 27th Sept. 194

FROM

K SUBBA RAO, Esq, B A,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE CITY

To

J B Mallaradhya, Esq , M A ,
Additional Secretary to Government,
B A N G A L O R E.

ADDITIONAL SECRETARY
No
Date
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

SIR,

With reference to your letter No L. 11836/M1 10-44-143 dated 8-6-1945, declining to reconsider the question of granting a personal allowance of Rs 20/- p m. to Mr M Swaminathan, Council Clerk of this Office, I have the honour to state that when the letter under reference came up before the Municipal Council on 1-9-1945, they resolved to reaffirm their previous resolution, urging the Government to accord sanction to the same

This Official has been rather unfortunate He is smart, intelligent and highly qualified His work as Council clerk has met with repeated appreciation at the hands of the Municipal Council In fact, I hear that they found him so useful that when he had an offer from the Civil Supplies Department on an attractive salary, the then Commissioner expressed his unwillingness to spare him and promised to better his prospects in this Office alone. In the foregoing circumstances, I recommend that the resolution of the Council may be kindly sanctioned

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments

28/9/45

Proceedings of the Bangalore City Municipal Council dated the 1st September 1945

Addl Subj No 15

Letter No L 11836/ML 10-44-143 dated 8-6-45 from the Law Secretary to Government that Government Order No L 8002/ ML 10-44 dated 13-2-45, declining the grant of a personal allowance of Rs 20/- p m to Mr M. Swaminathan, Council Clerk, cannot be reconsidered

Mr M K. Narayana Iyengar observed that the reason for the Government in not sanctioning the resolution of the Council seemed to be that no reasons were assigned by the Council for passing this resolution When the services of Mr Swaminathan were indented upon by the Civil Supplies Department, it was found very desirable, in the interest of municipal work, to retain the services of such an efficient and intelligent - official in this Municipality alone. So, a reply was sent to the Supply Department that the services of Mr Swaminathan could not be spared and as he would have got a higher pay of Rs 100/- in that Department if he had gone there, some sort of a promise to give atleast/some higher pay here alone by way of a personal allowance, was held out to him In accordance with this, the Municipal Commissioner recommended that he might be given a personal allowance of Rs 20/-per month and this was unanimously approved in the Council But the Government had turned down this recommendation In this connection, it was necessary to point out that except in regard to the posts of the Municipal Commissioner, the Health Officer and the Municipal Engineer, in all other cases, the final word was left with the Council as all these posts are under the control of the Municipal Council It was only the formal sanction of the Government that was required in these cases If at every stage, the Government turn down their recommendations, made after deep deliberation and proper scrutiny of the/ability of the officials concerned. it would become impossible for them to carry on the Local-Self Government The Council was responsible for the efficient discharge of the work of administration and by giving such encouragements in deser-

cases, they were atleast sure of satisfactory and efficient discharge of work duties on the part of the subordinates It is the Council and the Commissioner who had to gauge the - efficiency of the subordinates and decide whether they deserve any encouragement. Mr. Swaminathan's work had been satisfacto and he had also been stagnating on Rs 60/- from a long time, since there was no place on a higher pay available for promoting him, the Council had to sanction a special personal allowance of Rs 20/- to him He then moved the following - resolution -

"Recorded Resolved further that this Council reaffirms its previous resolution and urges the Government to accord sanction to the same Further resolved that a copy of the proceedings in this behalf be also sent to the Government "

Mr B N, Gupta seconded the above and Messrs K Shamaraj Iyengar and K. N Ramaswamy supported the same, and it was passed unanimously by the Council

Sd M. A Gopalaswamy Iyengar,
Honorary President

" C o p y "

K Ramaswamy
Municipal Commissioner.

No L 3968 21 XI. 43

To The Municipal Comr
Bangalore city

Sir, With reference to your letter
M A-6 pr 123-44-43, dated 27-9-43,
I am directed to request you to
forward for reference the service
register of Mr H Swamma Iyengar Council
Clerk, Bangalore city Municipality.

I have &c
for
for Rev Secy

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,

A6 P R 123/44-45 *Dated Bangalore*, 28th Novr 1945

FROM Safi Darashah, Esq , B A
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

3917

To
J R. Mallaradhya, Esq , M A ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

SIR

As desired in your letter No L 3968 dated 21st November 1945, I have the honour to forward herewith the Service Register of Mr M Swaminathan, Council Clerk of this office for reference and return

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

hsr/-

C F No 46] GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Commissioner

(By Muddam)

To The Revenue Commissioner
Mysore, Bangalore

14-12-45

Sir,

I am directed to request you to kindly intimate whether Mr M Swamynathan, Record Clerk, Bangalore City Municipality, is an employee of the Revenue Deptt or D in the Bangalore City Municipality. If so, his present grade and pay in the Revenue Deptt in which he has been so confirmed, may be intimated.

The service register of the ab

C F No 46] **GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE**

No. L Dis.A1-2331/45 OFFICE OF the Revenue Commissioner
Encls one file with CR and copy of letter in Mysore, Bangalore,
Date 17th January 1946

To
The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

Sir

Subject:-Lien of Mr M Swaminathan, Council Clerk, Bangalore City Municipality

I have the honour to invite reference to your letter No L 5233 dated December 45 enquiring whether Mr M Swaminathan, Council Clerk, Bangalore City Municipality, is an employee of the Revenue Department I enclose herewith a copy of letter No L Dis S1-C 1660/45 dated 3-1-46 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan,(in which District he was working prior to his appointment in the Bangalore City Municipality) saying that the lien of this official is not continued in his District It is seen from the Service Register of the Official that his service is all along in the Municipality except for a period of about Y 5 - M 6 when he served in Hassa District, where his lien is not retained

The Service Register of the official together with the

P.T O

connected file is enclosed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant;

Revenue Commissioner.

PM

SR.

Copy of letter No.L.Dis.S1 C.1660/45-46 dated 3rd January 19
the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, Hassan, to the Revenu
sioner in Mysore, Bangalore, regarding the lien of Mr. M S Swam
Council Clerk, Bangalore City Municipality

With reference to your letter No A1 2331/45-46 dated 20th D
1945 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the l
M S. Swaminathan is not continued in this District The servic
of the official is returned herewith

Copy.

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

Date of issue 28 2-46 194

Signed by M. G. R. Rao

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a Standing Order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the Press ?

(iv) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

Section G

File No 10-45

Serial No 173

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— G.O. No. L 3390-2/Ml 24-38-204 dated 29-9-39 revising the scale of pay of the Council clerk, Bangalore City Municipal office from Rs 40-2-50 + 10 D A to Rs 50-2-60 + 5 D A and making it personal to Mr M Swaminathan

~~Order thereon.—No~~

2) G.O. No. L 6242-44/Ml 32-40-105 dated 19-2-41, sanctioning the grant of the maximum pay of Rs 60-p-m in grade Rs 50-2-60 to Mr Swaminathan referred to above

3) G.O. No. L/12312-3/Ml 10-42-138 dated 21-6-43, permitting

Mr M Swaminathan, to draw a pay of Rs 60-p-m in a post other than that of the Council clerk; ~~and directing among others, that until a post on Rs 50-2-60 or on a higher pay could be provided for Mr Swaminathan, a post of Rs 40-2-50, should be kept vacant in the Municipal Office~~

4) Correspondence ending with letter No Ab P R 123/44-45 dated ~~28-11-45~~ 28-11-45, from the Municipal Comr Bareilly requesting, in the circumstances stated, sanction to the grant of a personal allowance of Rs 20- p-m to Mr M. Swaminathan, Council clerk, as resolved by the City Municipal Council at ~~their~~ its meetings held on 23-10-44, 31-3-45 and 1-9-45- vide order No 2, 8725-6 dated 28-2-46 in the circumstances

List of Enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Sanction is accorded
reported, ~~Government are pleased to sanction~~
~~Hon~~ to the grant of a personal allowance of
Rupees ten, per mensem, to Mr M Swaminatha,
~~Samual Clerk~~ Darcabe City Municipality

for
15/7/15
for Secretary to Govt
Revenue Dept

The Municipal Council of City
(through the President) with the Service
register of the official
~~to~~ Comptroller

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

R e a d.-

Government Order No.L.3390-2/Ml.34-38-204 dated 29th September 1939, revising the scale of pay of the Council Clerk, Bangalore City Municipal Office from Rs.40-2-50 plus Rs.10 D.A. to Rs.50-2-60 plus Rs.5/- D.A. and making it personal to Mr.M.Swaminathan

2.Government Order No.L.6242-44/Ml.32-40-105, dated 19th February 1941, sanctioning the grant of the maximum pay of Rs.60/- per mensem in grade Rs.50-2-60, to Mr.Swaminathan referred to above.

3. Government Order No.L.12312-3/Ml.10-42-133 dated 21st July 1943, permitting Mr.M.Swaminathan, to draw a pay of Rs.60/- per mensem in a post other than that of the Council Clerk.

4.Correspondence ending with letter No.AC.P.R.123/44-45 dated 28th November 1945 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City requesting, in the circumstances stated, sanction to the grant of a personal allowance of Rs.20/- per mensem to Mr.M.Swaminathan, Council Clerk, as resolved by the City Municipal Council at their meetings held on 23rd October 1944, 31st March 1945 and 1st September 1945.

*****

Order No. L 8725-6/Ml 10-45-173

Dated, Bangalore, the 28 February 1946.

In the circumstances reported, sanction is accorded to the grant of a personal allowance of Rupees ten per mensem to Mr.M. Swaminathan, Council Clerk, Bangalore City Municipality.

For Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City
(through the President) with the Service Register
of the Official.
The Comptroller to Government of Mysore.

LC/3.

No 45 C 334/45-46 CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICES,

Mll 10-45-244 *Bangalore dated* 9th April 1946

GEN & FEV SECRET 7257 16 APR 1946 L S G BRANCH

FROM

SAFI DARASHAH ESQ, B A
Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City

To

J B Mallaradhya, Esq, M A,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

SIR,

With reference to Government Order No L 8725-6/Ml 10-45-173 dated 28-2-1946 sanctioning a personal allowance of Rs 10/- per month to Mr M Swaminathan, Council Clerk of this office, I have the honour to state that the Government Order under reference came up before the Council for consideration on 29-3-1946 when they have re-iterated their previous resolution and further resolved that the Government may be requested to sanction the personal allowance of Rs 20/- p m to Mr M Swaminathan from the date of the Council resolution viz, 23-10-1944

2 I enclose herein a copy of the Council resolution for favour of obtaining the orders of Government thereon

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

hsr/-

Forwarded with compliments

Honorary President 9 4 46

Proceedings of the meeting of the Council dated 29-3-1946

Sub 10 G O No L 8725-6/M1 10-45-173 dated 28-2-1946 sanctioning a monthly personal allowance of Rs 10/- to Mr M Swaminathan, Council clerk

Resolution - Vide typed copy (enclosed)

Council Resolution dated 29-3-1946.

Subject No 10.

Mr A.J.Khaleel observed that while the Council had recommended a monthly Personal Allowance of Rs 20/- from the date of the Council Resolution, viz , 23-10-1944, to Mr Swaminathan, the Government had sanctioned only Rs 10/- and that too from the date of the Government Order, viz , 28-2-46 This was a hard case deserving of their consideration. If the Government had conceeded to the recommendation of the Council, the official would have been enjoying the allowance from that time. Further, this Personal Allowance granted to the official was to compensate for the loss he had incurred in not having been relieved when he had better offers from other departments. Though he had been given an offer with a higher pay in the Supplies Department, he was forced to remain in this Municipality in the interest of municipal work. So it was better but right and proper that he should be not be made to suffer on account of the necessity for his retention in this Municipality. After all, the Council had recommended only a small Personal Allowance of Rs 20/- and if even that small amount is not ~~gixxxxxxx~~ sanctioned from the date of the resolution, they would not be doing justice in a deserving case. So he said that the previous resolution to grant Mr. Swaminathan, a Personal Allowance of Rs 20/- per month from the date of Council Resolution and the Government may be requested to sanction this without any change

The President observed that Mr. Swaminathan's services were~~xxxxxxx~~ retained in this Municipality in the interests of municipal work and because of this, he should not be made a loser. He has been stagnating on his present pay of Rs 60/- for the last nearly five ~~xxxx~~ years and for his long service of 15½ years(including 5 years of service in Revenue Department) the pay he was drawing was very small. The Council had sanctioned him a Personal Allowance of Rs 20/- per month from the date of the Resolution, viz., 23-10-44 after considering all aspects of the case and it was but proper that Government should give proper weigh to this resolution without brushing it out He said that the previous Resolution may be adhered to and Government be requested to sanction it from the date of the Council Resolution.

Mr. G V.Ramachar observed that it was really a matter of ~~x~~ regret that even in these small matters of rewarding the ~~x~~ services of deserving officials by granting them such personal allowances, the Government should take up such views. The Government should be more broad-minded in such matters and such recommendations sent up after a good deal of deliberation and consideration on their part should not be turned down by Government in such a way- He supported the views expressed by the President and said that the Government may be again requested to sanction their resolution in toto.

Mr. K M.Naganna said that it was not enough if the Council merely re-iterated its previous resolution. The Commissioner should ~~x~~ so/ send up their resolution with a ~~x~~strong covering letter and with his full support.

Res:- The Council re-iterates its previous resolution and request Government to sanction the Personal Allowance of Rs 20/- per month to Mr. M Swaminathan, from the date of the ir resolution, viz., 23-10-44,

Sd/-. H C. Suryanarayana Rao,
Hon President.

(Copy)

Municipal Commissioner

L 12661/MR 10-4-5-245
To & 14 S. 46

The Municipal Commr
Bangalore City

C Through the President,

Sir,

With reference to Your letter as A.S.
C. 334/45-46, dated 9-4-46, requesting
reconsideration of the orders issued in G.O. No
L&G 7253-6/MR 10-45-173 dated 28-2-46,
sanctioning the grant of a personal allowance
of Rs. 10 per month to Sri T. Swaminathan, Council
Clerk, Bangalore City Municipality, may be
granted a personal allowance of Rs 20-
p.m with effect from 23-10-1945 instead of
Rs 10- p.m as sanctioned in G.O. No L&G 7253-6
MR 10-45-173 dated 28-2-46, I am directed
to state that the Government regret that they
see no reason to reconsider their
previous orders in the matter

I have the
honour
to be Sir
Your most